

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.         | FILING DATE                                     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.   | CONFIRMATION NO.   |  |
|-------------------------|---|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--|
| 10/708,717              | 03/19/2004                                      | Fred H. Holmes       | · Omni Voltage        | 2716               |  |
| 29000<br>· IDELL & MAN  | 7590 12/14/2007                                 |                      | EXAMINER              |                    |  |
| 1800 AVENUE             | IRELL & MANELLA LLP<br>1800 AVENUE OF THE STARS |                      |                       | PATEL, RAJNIKANT B |  |
| SUITE 900<br>LOS ANGELE | ES. CA 90067                                    |                      | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER |                    |  |
|                         | ,   |                      | 2838                  |                    |  |
|                         |   |                      |                       |                    |  |
|                         |   | •                    | MAIL DATE             | DELIVERY MODE      |  |
|                         |   |                      | 12/14/2007            | PAPER              |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| •   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |         |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|---------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Commence  | 10/708,717   | HOLMES ET AL.  |         |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |         |  |  |  |
|   | Rajnikant B. Patel   | 2838   |         |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet  | with the correspondence ac   | ddress  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNG (a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC, cause the application to become the come of th | IICATION. The reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this of the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |         |  |  |  |
| Status  |  | •  |         |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>1Dec</u>   | emberr 2007  |  |         |  |  |  |
|   | action is non-final.   |  | •       |  |  |  |
|   | ·  |  |         |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |  |         |  |  |  |
|   | in parto quayro, 1000 o.   | D. 11, 100 O.O. 210.   |         |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  | •  |         |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application.  |  | •  |         |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw   | wn from consideration.   |  |         |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |  |         |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are rejected.   |  |  |         |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |  |  |         |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  | r election requirement.  | •  |         |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |  |         |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine  | Γ.   |  |         |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce   |  | by the Examiner.   | ·       |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |  |         |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |  |         |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | aminer. Note the attache   | ed Office Action or form P   | TO-152. |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |         |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign   | priority under 35 U.S.C.   | § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |         |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  |  |  |         |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents   | s have been received.  |  |         |  |  |  |
| 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |  |  |         |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior  | rity documents have bee  | n received in this National  | Stage   |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau   | (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |  |         |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |  |  |         |  |  |  |
|   |  | •  |         |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |         |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   | •  |  |         |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   |  | Summary (PTO-413)  |         |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application  |         |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/07.</u> 6) Other:  |  |  |         |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/708,717

Art Unit: 2838

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections -,35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) The invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) The invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claim 1, 6, 10 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bowman et al. (U.S. Patent # 6, 91,283).

Art Unit: 2838

Bowman et al. discloses claimed subject matters a battery operated LED lighting apparatus (figure 2), including a battery (figure 2, item B1), a boost regulator (figure 2, item 104), at least one light emitting diode (figure 2, item 102a-n) and maintains the constant voltage (column6, line 50-68), a current sensor (figure 2, item 106), a feedback path (figure 2, item LED current feedback).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 2-5,7-9,11-30 and 32-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bowman et al. (U.S. Patent # 6,91,283) in combination with Hochstein (U.S. Patent # 5,661,645) and further in combination with Lebens et al. (U.S. Patent # 6,305,818 B1).

Bowman et al. disclose the claimed subject matters as explained in the claims 1,6,10 and 31, above. However Bowman does not disclose the utilization of the technique for a LED segregated into groups, the groups connected in parallel, LED connected in series a buck regulator, a subject in film, video, or digital imaging, the microprocessor. Hochstein teaches the utilization of the similar technique for a LED segregated into

Application/Control Number: 10/708,717

Art Unit: 2838

groups, the groups connected in parallel, LED connected in series a buck regulator (figure 5) and Lebens et al. teaches the utilization of the similar technique for a subject in film, video, or digital imaging, the microprocessor (figure 2,10 and column 8, line 1-10), a dimmer (column 11, line 35-40, a manually adjustable (column 12, line 25-30), and light intensity control (column 15, line 25-30). It would have been obvious one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention made to modify Bowman et al.'s control circuit by utilizing the technique taught by Lebens et al. for the purpose of providing improved controller for light emitting diodes.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rajnikant B. Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-2082. The examiner can normally be reached on 6.30-5.00; m-f.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Williams Hezron can be reached on 571-272-2208. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/708,717

Art Unit: 2838

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Rajnikant B Patel Primary Examiner Art Unit 2838 Page 5

\*\*\*